



> Return address PO Box 320, 1110 AH Diemen

To the Minister of Health, Welfare and Sport  
PO Box 20350  
2500 EJ THE HAGUE

2022000878

Date 25 January 2022  
Subject Package advice for the lock procedure drug tisagenlecleucel (Kymriah®)

**National Health Care Institute**

Care  
Medicinal Products

Willem Dudokhof 1  
1112 ZA Diemen  
PO Box 320  
1110 AH Diemen  
[www.zorginstituutnederland.nl](http://www.zorginstituutnederland.nl)  
[info@zinl.nl](mailto:info@zinl.nl)

T +31 (0)20 797 85 55

**Contact**

Ms J.M. van der Waal

T +31 (0)6 120 017 28

**Our reference**

2022000878

Dear Mr Kuipers,

The National Health Care Institute is hereby advising you about the lock procedure drug tisagenlecleucel (tisa-cel, Kymriah®) for the treatment of patients with relapsing or refractory (r/r) diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL, a specific form of lymphoma) after two or more lines of systemic therapy. The reason for this opinion is a reassessment based on newly published data for the above-mentioned indication.

The National Health Care Institute has concluded that tisa-cel, an anti-CD19 CAR-T cell therapy, meets the legal criterion of 'established medical science and medical practice' in patients with r/r DLBCL. The National Health Care Institute has determined that the therapeutic value of this medicine is comparable to that of the medicine axicabtagene ciloleucel (axi-cel, Yescarta®), which is already reimbursed. Both treatment options have a clinically relevant effect on overall survival. A second anti-CD19 CAR-T drug, tisa-cel, offers flexibility and an option to choose the most rapidly available CAR-T cell therapy; this may be critical for the r/r DLBCL population in question, given the unfavourable prognosis. We advise you to include tisa-cel in the basic health care package, provided that price negotiations with the marketing authorisation holder successfully deliver a net price that does not exceed that of axi-cel. We would like to point out that the Insured Package Advisory Committee has recommended that the price for a treatment should be reduced when several drugs are available for the same indication.

**General**

At your request, the National Health Care Institute assesses whether new care should be part of the basic health insurance package, and makes a decision based on the basic insured package paid from joint premiums. We take into consideration the degree of certainty that this will be achieved, both in the scientific sense, as well as in terms of public support, and we consider the efficiency and transparency aspects. The National Health Care Institute assessed tisa-cel on the basis of the four package criteria<sup>1</sup>: effectiveness<sup>2</sup>, cost-

<sup>1</sup> Real-world package management 3 (2013). National Health Care Institute, Diemen. Via

effectiveness<sup>3</sup>, necessity and feasibility. The National Health Care Institute takes advice on this from the Scientific Advisory Board (WAR) regarding the review of established medical science and medical practice and for cost-effectiveness, and from the Insured Package Advisory Committee for the social assessment. We also consulted stakeholders during the assessment process.

**National Health Care  
Institute**  
Care  
Medicinal Products

**Date**  
25 January 2022

**Our reference**  
2022000878

## **Integral weighting of package criteria**

### *Established medical science and medical practice*

Tisa-cel is indicated for the treatment of:

- paediatric and young adult patients up to age 25 with refractory B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (r/r B-cell ALL), with a recurrence after transplantation or with a second or later recurrence of B-cell ALL;
- adult patients with recurring or refractory diffuse large cell B-cell lymphoma (r/r DLBCL) after two or more lines of systemic therapy.

In 2018, the National Health Care Institute ruled that tisa-cel meets the criterion of established medical science and medical practice for the first indication, r/r B-cell ALL.

At the time, the National Health Care Institute also ruled that tisa-cel did not meet the criterion of established medical science and medical practice for the second indication, r/r DLBCL after two or more lines of systemic therapy. The National Health Care Institute deemed the effect of tisa-cel on survival gain too uncertain. Important points of criticism were the as yet immature data on overall survival, uncertainty about the standalone effect of tisa-cel compared to the effect of bridging therapy, and the large dropout rate among patients after inclusion in the study but before tisa-cel administration.

The above-mentioned criticisms have been refuted by newly published long-term data from the tisa-cel registration study (JULIET study; median follow-up of 40 months). That study concludes that administering tisa-cel results in a clinically relevant effect on overall survival, with a significant proportion of patients with r/r DLBCL after two or more lines of systemic therapy being cured. The chances of survival through to 12, 24 and 36 months after administration of tisa-cel are 48, 40 and 36 per cent respectively.

Compared to the historical control cohorts (treatment with salvage chemotherapy followed if possible by stem cell transplantation) and over a median follow-up duration of 40 months, the risk of death after administering tisa-cel was reduced by 34% (HR [hazard ratio] 0.66; 95% CI [confidence interval]: 0.49 – 0.89) to 44% (HR 0.56; 95% CI: 0.43 – 0.74), with an increase in median survival of 5.8 months.

The conclusion based on the new data is that bridging therapy is widely used in practice and that it is unlikely that the use of bridging therapy alone is responsible for the observed long-term effect on overall survival. Moreover, the feasibility of administering tisa-cel appears to be better in practice than in the JULIET study: up to 87% were able to receive tisa-cel as opposed to just 67% in the JULIET study.

---

[www.zorginstituutnederland.nl](http://www.zorginstituutnederland.nl)

<sup>2</sup> Established medical science and medical practice assessment: updated version (2015). National Health Care Institute,  
Diemen. Via [www.zorginstituutnederland.nl](http://www.zorginstituutnederland.nl)

<sup>3</sup> Cost-effectiveness report (2015). National Health Care Institute, Diemen. Via [www.zorginstituutnederland.nl](http://www.zorginstituutnederland.nl)

The standard treatment nowadays for patients with r/r DLBCL after two or more lines of systemic therapy consists of axicabtagene ciloleucel (axi-cel, Yescarta®), which is also an anti-CD19 CAR-T cell therapy. This is why an indirect comparison was made between tisa-cel and axi-cel in the reassessment.

After administration of axi-cel (the ZUMA-1 study), as with tisa-cel, a plateau is observed for overall survival (survival to 12 and 24 months after infusion is 59 and 51 per cent respectively). Both anti-CD19 CAR-T cell therapies, tisa-cel and axi-cel, therefore have a clinically relevant effect on overall survival. Differences in study design make an accurate indirect comparison between the effects of tisa-cel and axi-cel on overall survival difficult. The conclusion is that the study population in the JULIET study with tisa-cel seems to have been more representative of practice than the study population in the ZUMA-1 study with axi-cel.

The serious, intervention-related, adverse effects appear to be similar for the two drugs.

The moment of apheresis is different for the two anti-CD19 CAR-T cell therapies. A second anti-CD19 CAR-T drug, tisa-cel, offers flexibility and an option to choose the most rapidly available CAR-T cell therapy; this may be critical for the r/r DLBCL population in question, given the unfavourable prognosis.

#### *Budget impact*

It is expected that 64 patients will have been treated with tisa-cel 3 years after inclusion in the package. The total cost per patient of treatment with tisa-cel comes to €320,454.04 (list price €320,000 + conditioning chemotherapy €454.04). The cost per patient for treatment with axi-cel comes to €327,483.63 (list price €327,000 + conditioning chemotherapy €483,63).

Including tisa-cel in the insured package comes with additional costs. The level of those additional costs cannot be determined by the National Health Care Institute because the net price is unknown. Financial arrangements have been made for axi-cel, the treatment being compared, so its actual price is lower than the list price used.

#### *Cost-effectiveness*

Because of the similarities in effectiveness (equal therapeutic value) of tisa-cel and axi-cel, the National Health Care Institute has not carried out a cost-effectiveness analysis.

#### *Appropriateness*

Patients with r/r DLBCL in the Netherlands are treated according to a national treatment protocol in treatment centres that are qualified for CAR-T cell treatment. Every patient who is eligible for treatment with axi-cel and tisa-cel is discussed by the Dutch CAR-T tumour board.

They are also registered in the EBMT database (of the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation, as required by the EMA upon registration) and a national register.

### **Final conclusion**

**National Health Care Institute**  
Care  
Medicinal Products

**Date**  
25 January 2022

**Our reference**  
2022000878

The National Health Care Institute recommends that you should include tisagenlecleucel (tisa-cel, Kymriah®) in the health insurance package for treating adult patients with recurrent or refractory diffuse large-cell B-cell lymphoma (r/r DLBCL) after two or more lines of systemic therapy, provided that the net price does not exceed the net price of the existing treatment with axicabtagene ciloleucel after successful price negotiations with the marketing authorisation holder. As the value is equal when compared to axi-cel, which is already being reimbursed, and there are no indications that either product is preferable to the other, we advise you to take the existing discount on axicabtagene ciloleucel into account during the price negotiations. We would like to point out that the Insured Package Advisory Committee has recommended that the price for a treatment should be reduced when more resources are available.

Yours sincerely,

Sjaak Wijma  
*Chair of the Executive Board*

**National Health Care  
Institute**  
Care  
Medicinal Products

**Date**  
25 January 2022

**Our reference**  
2022000878